

**O'Donnell, Mary Beth**

**From:** LaRocque, Linnea on behalf of Barnes, Ed  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 22, 2014 11:03 AM  
**To:** O'Donnell, Mary Beth; Silliman, Peter; Tilton, Rebecca  
**Subject:** FW: maps

\* 7 0 8 5 6 4 \*

CP 16#0345

FYI

Linnea LaRocque, Administrative Assistant  
Clark County Board of Commissioners  
360-397-2232 ext. 4167  
PO Box 5000, Vancouver WA 98666



SAVE PAPER - Please do not print this e-mail unless absolutely necessary

**From:** Carol Levanen [<mailto:cnldental@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 21, 2014 9:09 PM  
**To:** [dsnee@clarkcd.org](mailto:dsnee@clarkcd.org); Carol Levanen; Susan Rasmussen; Leah Higgins; Rick Dunning; Rita Dietrich; Jerry Olson; Fred Pickering; Jim Mallinowski; Frank White; Benjamin Moss; Lonnie Moss; Melinda Zamora; Nick Redinger; Curt Massie; Marcus Becker; Zachary McIsaac; Clark County Citizens United Inc.; Madore, David; Mielke, Tom; Barnes, Ed  
**Subject:** maps

tello Denise. (Dist. Mgr.) *Clark Conservation District*

Thanks for forwarding the soil information to Susan.....she forwarded it to me, also. In all the research we have done regarding the current soils maps, we can find no where that the county actually used the soil manual for their data. They might have skimmed over it, but they didn't even have the ability to apply it via computer data base information, when it was created in 1990-1994. If indeed it was used then, the massive amount of Prime ag land that Vancouver put into the urban growth boundary in 2007 would have been noted as such on the maps. It was not. All of our research indicates the existing maps were created from staff and aerial photos. The Hearings Board, head planners, county attorney, and other past county documents confirm that was the case. We believe the GMA intended that only true prime, rich, productive ag land should be preserved, which makes sense. There would not be any real purpose to call other rural lands, resource land, except to lock it up, and a past report to the commissioners by planning staff, says just that: The intent was to block the land up. We did note that when looking up prime ag land under the state website, it refers us to the county soils maps. It appears that the state doesn't really have "prime" soils of their own, but rather allows the counties to determine that. The 1994 erroneous planning process is the zoning we see today and those were the maps that were supposedly used. One would have to overlay the zones over the supposed soil to see if even that was actually done, which we will also research. The process for the original Comp plan was horrendous and our county continues to suffer under it. We all need to help the commissioners do the right thing for all of the rural landowners of our county, as the unincorporated areas should be the commissioners main focus and concern.. But, we are all in this thing together. Thanks Again for the information!

Best Regards, Carol Levanen, Ex. Secretary, CCCU, Inc.